

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/050,061	01/15/2002	Toren S. Davis	H0002526 (A66) US	1119	
75	90 10/02/2003		EXAM	INER	
Honeywell International Inc.			TORRES, MELANIE		
Law Dept. AB2 PO Box 2245			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Morristown, NJ	Morristown, NJ 07962-9806			3683	
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/050,061 DAVIS, TOREN S.				
, avies, y risus.	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Melanie Torres	3683			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sh t with the	rrespond nce add	lress		
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2003 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli- (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re- ich places the appli	ply to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on SIX MONTHS OF THE THEORY OF THE CONTY ON THE CONTY OF THE	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
2. $\square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require furt	ther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);				
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cand	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ms.		
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejo	ection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly		
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	S:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5,7-10 and 12-14</u> .			$\cap$		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			\\ ,		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exam	niner.		
9. Note the attached information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)( PTŌ-1449) Paper No(s).		W. War		
10. Other:			MCHMAR		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) C ntiruation She t (PTOL-303) 10/050,061

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claimed limitations are still deemed to be anticipated by the prior art as stated in the final rejection (Paper #8). The secondary system which includes the hexapod and the secondary mass is tuned as disclosed in the cit d sections of Paper #8. Further, it is well known in the art that any vibration damper would be tuned to reduce vibrations experienced by the structure as determined according to design choice.

CHRISTOPHER P. SCHWARTZ PRIMARY EXAMINER